Title
Normobaric hypoxic conditioning to maximise weight-loss and ameliorate cardio-metabolic health in obese populations: A systematic review

Authors
L Hobbins¹, S Hunter¹, N Gaoua¹, O Girard²,³

Institutions
¹ Sport and Exercise Science Research Centre, London South Bank University, London, United Kingdom
² Athlete Health and Performance Research Centre, Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar
³ ISSUL, Institute of Sport Sciences, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

Address for correspondence
Mr. Liam Hobbins
hobbinsl@lsbu.ac.uk
Sport and Exercise Science Research Centre
School of Applied Sciences
London South Bank University
London, United Kingdom
SE1 0AA

Running head
Therapeutic use of hypoxia in obese individuals.

Key words
Obesity, hypoxia, altitude training, weight loss, cardio-metabolic health.

Word count: 7411

Number of tables: 4

Number of figures: 1

Number of references: 84
Abstract

**Background:** Normobaric hypoxic conditioning (HC) is defined as exposure to systemic and/or local hypoxia at rest (passive) or combined with exercise training (active). HC has been previously used by healthy and athletic populations to enhance their physical capacity, and improve performance in the lead up to competition. Recently, HC has also been applied acutely (single exposure) and chronically (repeated exposure over several weeks) to overweight and obese populations with the intention of managing and potentially increasing cardio-metabolic health and weight loss. At present, it is unclear what the cardio-metabolic health and weight loss responses of obese populations are in response to passive and active HC. **Exploration of potential benefits of exposure to both passive and active HC may provide pivotal findings for improving health and well being in these individuals.**

**Methodology:** A systematic literature search for articles published between 2000 and 2017 was carried out. Studies investigating the effects of normobaric HC as a novel therapeutic approach to elicit improvements in the cardio-metabolic health and weight loss of obese populations were included.

**Results:** Studies investigated passive (n = 7; 5 animal, 2 human), active (n = 4; all human) and a combination of passive and active (n = 4; 3 animal, 1 human) HC to an inspired oxygen fraction (FiO\(_2\)) between 4.8\%–15.0\%, ranging between a single session and daily sessions per week, lasting from 5 days up to 8 months. **Passive HC led to reduced insulin concentrations (-37\%–+22\%) in obese animals and increased energy expenditure (+12\%–+16\%) in obese humans, while active HC lead to reductions in body weight (-4\%–2\%) in obese animals and humans, and blood pressure (-8\%–-3\%) in obese humans, compared to a matched workload in normoxic conditions.** Inconclusive findings, however, exist in determining the impact of acute and chronic HC on markers such as triglycerides, cholesterol levels and fitness capacity. Importantly, most of the studies that included animal models involved exposure to severe levels of hypoxia (FiO\(_2\) = 5.0\%; simulated altitude >10,000 m) that are not suitable for human populations.

**Conclusions:** Overall, normobaric HC demonstrated observable positive findings in relation to insulin and energy expenditure (passive), and body weight and blood pressure (active), which may improve the cardio-metabolic health and body weight management of obese populations. However, further evidence on responses of circulating biomarkers to both passive and active HC in humans is warranted.

**Word count:** 391
1. Introduction

Obesity has been labeled as the global epidemic of the 21st century (78). In the United Kingdom alone, 58% of women and 65% of men are considered to be overweight or obese, i.e., defined as having a body mass index (BMI) of 25–29.9 or ≥30 kg.m$^2$, respectively (49). Compared to the early 1990s, whereby obesity prevalence was estimated to be ~15%, those living in today’s society have a 1 in 4 chance of becoming obese (49). Further, co-morbidities such as cardiovascular disease, type II diabetes and cancer are at greater risk of development in obese populations resulting in the possibility of higher mortality rates (21).

Obesity is typically caused by a consistently positive energy balance, i.e., greater calories consumed versus those expended, which eventually leads to excess fat accumulation (28) – the negative impact of which is profound in terms of health consequences. Carrying additional weight can result in elevated blood pressure (7), metabolic deficiencies (28) and mechanical complications (11) amongst other factors – all of which create an increased functional demand on the body of obese individuals. Further, the increased mechanical demand during weight-bearing activities of obese populations may be deleterious on lower limb joints (i.e., knee and ankle) and limit the functional capabilities compared to healthy and normal weight populations (70). Aside from bariatric surgery, that is primarily available for the most severe cases (BMI ≥40 kg.m$^2$ [3]), various interventions including diet manipulation, caloric restriction, and increased physical activity and exercise (12), are proposed to counteract these problems.

For weight loss to be considered clinically significant, a change of ≥3% in body weight is required (12) – and then ≤3% change to be deemed as weight maintenance over the duration of several months (65). Typically, weight loss is achieved in the first six months of commencing a new diet and/or exercise programme, but a plateau is then reached and often the weight lost is subsequently regained (66). Given the inadequacy of current weight
management strategies, innovative approaches are warranted for clinically-relevant weight loss treatment and significant improvements in the health and general well-being of those who are overweight and obese beyond what is achieved to date.

Hypoxia is defined as a reduced (or insufficient) oxygen (O\textsubscript{2}) supply to tissues caused by decreases in O\textsubscript{2} saturation of arterial blood (24). Hypoxic conditioning (HC) relates to passive (i.e., during rest) or active (i.e., during exercise) exposure to systemic (whole body) and/or local (tissue) hypoxia, resulting in a decrease in arterial O\textsubscript{2} availability (38). HC can be implemented acutely (single exposure) or chronically (multiple exposures over prolonged periods of time). Permanent residence in a hypobaric hypoxic (terrestrial altitude due to lower-than-sea level barometric pressure) environment has shown to reduce the likelihood of becoming obese (68). Several studies have reported weight loss (1, 58, 81), reduced blood pressure (35, 61) and improved metabolic function (35, 61, 64, 72, 73) after a 1–3 week residential stay (e.g. hotel and food provided, light entertainment activities throughout the day, no structured exercise program) at terrestrial altitude (1500–8800 m). However, permanent living or travelling regularly to terrestrial altitude may not be feasible to all (i.e., re-location, elevated cost, lack of time). In obese populations, this practice could also lead to side effects such as physiological and metabolic deficiencies (44), including obstructive sleep apnea (30) or the development of acute mountain sickness (81).

Alternatively, exposure to normobaric hypoxia (or simulated altitude via a reduced inspired O\textsubscript{2} fraction [FiO\textsubscript{2}]), is increasingly popular as the number of commercially-available devices permitting simulated hypoxic exposure is growing. Primarily, this intervention allows living at or near sea level and then exposing, periodically, individuals to hypoxic conditions at rest or whilst exercising. This is typically accomplished by breathing through a mask or staying in an environmentally controlled chamber/room/tent whereby the FiO\textsubscript{2} is typically reduced to 15–12% (equivalent to simulated altitudes of ~2600–4300 m). In
sedentary overweight males, for instance, passive acute (single 3-hour exposure session) normobaric HC increased energy expenditure and altered fuel utilisation (reduced glucose and increased lipid oxydation), while further passive HC (multiple 3-h exposure sessions on 7 consecutive days) magnified these metabolic adjustments (77). For a range of exercise intensities (55–65% of maximal O₂ uptake [VO₂max] / 60–70% of maximum heart rate [HR max]) and similar levels of simulated altitude (~2600 m), other studies (18, 32, 46, 51, 76) have suggested that active HC induces specific molecular adaptations that do not occur when training in a normoxic environment (66). These positive adaptations, in particular, include increased basal noradrenaline levels (4), arteriole diameter and peripheral vasodilation (45), mitochondria number (66), glycolytic enzyme activity (16), insulin sensitivity (40), as well as reduced diastolic blood pressure (63) and leptin levels (29). Such physiological adaptations would in turn improve the metabolic phenotype of obese individuals.

Recent reviews have investigated the impact of O₂ availability as a therapeutic intervention for body weight management (56), intermittent hypoxia for fat loss and enhancement of cardiovascular health (66), the role of hypoxia in energy balance (28), hypoxic conditioning for several pathological diseases (67) and the effectiveness of hypoxic training on cardio-metabolic risk factors (71). Overall, these reviews tend to agree that both hypoxia and hyperoxia (i.e., environmental conditions posing a challenge to O2 homeostasis) may play a significant role in the processes associated with obesity and weight loss paradigm. However, the aforementioned reviews are limited in terms of systematically examining the potential impact of passive and active HC on markers of cardio-metabolic health and well-being (71), while some focus solely on human research with no consideration of findings from animal models (66, 71). Further, combining the literature on HC of populations with a multitude of diseases (e.g., cardiovascular and pulmonary) does not provide conclusive evidence in relation to the specific treatment of obese populations (67).
Due to the mechanical restrictions and weight loading implications on lower limbs (i.e., on the knee and ankle joints) when completing exercise in at-risk (obese, overweight and sedentary) populations (70), the exploration of potential benefits of exposure to both passive and active HC may provide pivotal findings for weight loss and maintenance strategies.

Therefore, the aim of this systematic review is to a) summarise the current literature surrounding passive and active normobaric HC as a therapeutic method for improving cardio-metabolic health and managing weight-loss in obese animals and humans, and b) offer perspectives for future research within this area of literature.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Literature search

A literature search was carried out in the Pubmed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science and SportsDiscus databases. The terms (intermittent hypoxia OR passive hypoxic exposure OR hypoxic training OR altitude training OR live-low train-high) AND (obesity OR overweight OR weight loss OR physiological response OR metabolic response OR cardiovascular response) were combined to search the full text of experimental articles published after 2000 and before January 2017. Each title, abstract and full text were assessed for relevance to the topic and selected if they met the inclusion criteria as follows: an original research article; randomised and controlled design; human or animal experimentation; overweight (BMI: 25–30 kg.m²), obese (BMI: 30–38 kg.m²) and/or sedentary participants; normobaric hypoxic intervention; assessment of at least one of the following parameters: blood pressure, glucose concentrations, insulin levels or cholesterol; English language; and published in a peer-reviewed journal. Exclusion criteria were: athletic/sport population/performance focus; involved obstructive sleep apnoea; clinical studies; implemented hypobaric/no hypoxia; or included a physically active or
adolescent population. Only full text articles were reviewed. In addition to the literature search, references were scanned for further relevant articles and were included if they met the inclusion criteria.

2.2. Assessment of Methodological Quality

A modified scale to assess the methodological quality of the studies retrieved in this review was carried out following selection of full text articles. The modified version was applied due to the greater representation for experiments employing a training intervention, compared to the Delphi, PEDro and Cochrane scales (53). A 10 item quality rating guide included the criteria listed below and guided the assessment scoring of each study as follows: 0 = clearly no; 1 = maybe; 2 = clearly yes; range = 0 (poor)–20 (excellent).

1. Inclusion criteria were clearly stated;
2. Subjects were randomly allocated to groups;
3. Intervention was clearly defined;
4. Groups were tested for similarity at baseline;
5. A control group was used;
6. Outcome variables were clearly defined;
7. Assessments were practically useful;
8. Duration of intervention was practically useful;
9. Between-group statistical analysis was appropriate;
10. Point measures of variability;

3. Results

3.1. Search results
Fig. 1 illustrates a flow chart of the search results. The search yielded a total of 212 publications. After removal of irrelevant titles, 23 items remained in relation to the focus of the review, reduced to eight following abstract assessment, and subsequently four full texts that met the inclusion criteria. Additionally, a further eleven full text items were added via reference list searching.

*Fig. 1 near here*

### 3.2. Methodological quality assessment

The average quality of the 15 studies included in this review was 16/20 according to Paul et al. (53). One study scored 20/20, and the lowest score was 12/20.

### 3.3. Study characteristics

Table 1 illustrates the details of the studies included in this review. Eight studies used animal models (2, 6, 34, 37, 52, 55, 59, 79). Five of these implemented a protocol of passive HC only (2, 34, 52, 55, 59), two active normoxic periods followed by passive HC (6, 79), and one used passive and active HC combined (37). All animal studies included obese rodents (mice or rats) aged between 3 and 24 weeks, seven used male (2, 6, 37, 52, 55, 59, 79) and one involved female (34) models. Five of the animal model groups were genetically obese (2, 6, 55, 59, 79), while three were fed a high-fat diet (34, 37, 52). Other than one study stating leptin deficiency in their animal models (34), no other difference in the health of animals across studies was mentioned.

Seven of the eligible studies investigated human participants (18, 32, 46, 51, 69, 76, 77). Two of these employed passive HC only (69, 77), four active HC only (32, 46, 51, 76), and one investigated both passive and active HC (18). Four of the human investigations were composed of both males and females (18, 32, 51, 76), with the
remaining three including males only (46, 69, 77). Further, four studies used obese (BMI = 30–37.1 kg.m\(^2\) [18, 32, 51, 76]), one overweight (BMI = 27 kg.m\(^2\) [77]) and one sedentary (normal weight with a BMI = 22.2 kg.m\(^2\) [69]) participants. The body composition of one participant cohort was not reported (46). Participants were aged between 21–51 years. Where mentioned, participants were free from hypertension (18, 77), diabetes (76), stroke (18), acute and chronic cardio-vascular, pulmonary and respiratory diseases/infections (18, 69, 76, 77), barriers to physical activity (32), altitude/hypoxic exposure (32, 76), medication to control weight or metabolism (32, 69, 77), alcohol/drug abuse and smoking (33, 69, 76, 77), and exercise (32, 46, 69, 77) within ≥3 months of enrolling.

*Table 1 near here*

3.4. Animal studies

3.4.1. Passive hypoxic exposure

The five investigations reviewed implemented two modes of passive HC, namely intermittent and sustained hypoxia. Intermittent protocols adopted a pattern of 30 s of exposure to hypoxia followed by 30 s of exposure to normoxia, lasting for 8 h (2) and 12–16 h per day (55). There were modifications to this approach in two of the investigations as follows: 40 and 80 s of exposure to hypoxia and normoxia, respectively (52), and 2 x 15-min periods of exposure to hypoxia interspersed with 5 and 10 min of exposure to normoxia (34). Only Rodriguez et al. (59) implemented a sustained exposure period of 24 h per day. The hypoxic level ranged between FiO\(_2\) = 4.8% (2, 52, 55, 59) and 14.3% (34), while most studies used a FiO\(_2\) of ~5.0% (2, 52, 55, 59) All interventions involved daily exposure. Most studies examined responses over a prolonged period of time (2–6 weeks [2, 34, 52, 59]), with only
3.4.2. Combined passive and active hypoxic exposure

Chen et al. (6) and Wu et al. (79) implemented a live high-train low (LHTL) intervention, with 90-min exercise sessions (moderate-intensity swimming) carried out in normoxia, followed by sustained passive HC periods (8 h per day, FiO$_2$ = 14.0%). Lu et al. (37) employed a live high-train high (LHTH) intervention, with implementation of 60-min active HC (moderate-intensity running), and the remaining hours of the day living in the same hypoxic environment (FiO$_2$ = 13.6%). These interventions ranged between 4–6 weeks.

3.5. Human studies

3.5.1. Passive hypoxic exposure

Wang et al. (69) and Workman & Basset (77) both implemented sustained passive HC periods corresponding to a period of 60 min and 3 h, respectively. The hypoxic level during these sessions was controlled via two methods: FiO$_2$ clamped at 12–15% (69), and manipulation of FiO$_2$ to clamp the arterial O$_2$ saturation (SpO$_2$) at ~80% (77).

Whereas Wang et al. (69) implemented a 4-week intervention (5 days of exposure per week, 60-min sessions), Workman & Basset (77) investigated responses to both a single 3-h session as well as the same period of exposure and hypoxic level on an additional 6 consecutive days.

3.5.2. Active hypoxic exposure

Active investigations have used a live low-train high (LLTH) approach and implemented exercise of a moderate intensity (55–65% VO$_2$$_{max}$ / 60–70% HR$_{max}$). Exercise
programmes were typically cardiovascular-based (running, cycling, stepping [32, 46, 51, 76]), with one study adding strength training (40–50% of 1 repetition maximum, 3 sets of 15 repetitions, interspersed with 2–3-min rest periods [32]).

The FiO$_2$ in all studies was 15.0%. Typical exercise prescription included sessions of 60–90 min in duration, performed three times per week, over a 4-week period (32, 46, 76), with one study implementing a longer training period of eight weeks (51). Kong et al. (32) took their participants to a sea-level residential camp for 4 weeks, which permitted a greater amount of time for exercise per week (22 h) and dietary control. Although, the hypoxic group spent only 6 h in hypoxia per week (exercise modality unknown) with the remainder of the sessions (16 h) carried out in normoxic conditions.

### 3.5.3. Combined passive and active exposure

Gatterer et al. (18) utilised a combination of passive and active HC via a LLTH approach over a period of 8 months. Participants completed 90-min moderate intensity (65–70% of HR$_{\text{max}}$) exercise sessions on an exercise ergometer of their choice (cycle, treadmill, cross-trainer), immediately followed by 90 mins rest, all in hypoxic (FiO$_2$ = 12–14%) conditions, twice weekly.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Animal studies

#### 4.1.1. Passive hypoxic exposure

Table 2 presents the overall findings of the animal studies included in this review. Glucose concentrations are commonly measured in obese animals following passive HC as an indirect marker of insulin sensitivity, however, the findings of this measure are inconsistent. Polotsky et al. (55) and Ling et al. (34) both found reductions in fasting glucose
concentrations following intermittent HC, despite exposure time/cycle (30 s :30 s versus 15 min :5–10 min, respectively) and severity of hypoxic exposure (FiO₂ = ~5.0% versus 14.3%, respectively) being largely different between protocols. In contrast, Briancon-Marjollet et al. (2) reported significant glucose concentration increases in obese rats after 8 h of intermittent (30 s :30 s) HC to an extreme hypoxic level (FiO₂ = 5.0%) per day over 2 weeks. Other investigations have shown unchanged values when animals were exposed intermittently to similar hypoxic levels using protocols of 40 s :80 s for 8 h (52) and 30 s :30 s for 12 h (55) per day. It seems that the common response of glucose concentrations in obese animals, passively exposed to varying levels of hypoxia, is yet to be verified. This variation in the present findings may be partly explained through the differences in pre-analytical conditions of sampled tissue, which was subsequently utilised for glucose concentration assessment (84).

Insulin is receiving a great deal of attention due to its dominance in Type II Diabetes control and development (26). In obese rats, insulin concentrations were unchanged following intermittent HC for 8 h per day (40 s :80 s [52]; and 30 s :30 s [2]). This is perhaps due to the severity of the hypoxic stimulus (FiO₂ = ~5.0%) blunting improvements in this health marker (50). Only one study has reported significant increases in insulin levels, which occurred following both a 5 day (+356%) and 12 week (+185%) hypoxic intervention in obese mice (55). The highly significant increase in insulin concentrations shown here may not actually be of benefit. Perhaps, exacerbation of insulin resistance occurred, leading to hyperinsulinemia (79). It is interesting to note that the hypoxic level employed in these studies was similar (FiO₂ = ~5.0%), and animals were intermittently exposed to hypoxia over 1 :1 (30 s :30 s) and 1 :2 (40 s :80 s) sequences. Reducing the severity of hypoxia during exposure periods may prevent dramatic increases, as reported here by Polotsky et al. (55),
and protect against subsequent exacerbation and development of hyperinsulinemia. This assumption, however, needs to be verified in an obese human population.

Varying findings of cholesterol following HC have been reported. Reductions in total cholesterol were found following hypoxic exposure (15 min :5–10 min, 8 times per day for 40 days, FiO₂ = 14.3%) in both lean and obese mice (34). Contrastingly, an increase in total cholesterol values occurred following HC (40 s :80 s for 8 h per day over 14 days, FiO₂ = 5.0%) in obese rats, and in control (no hypoxic exposure) lean and obese rats (52). In another study, no difference was reported in both lean and obese animals exposed to hypoxia (30 s :30 s for 8 h per day over 14 days, FiO₂ = 5.0%) or those who received no hypoxic exposure (2). Although the variance is apparent, it is difficult to interpret findings due to there being a lack of individual evaluation of levels of high-density (HDL) and low-density (LPL) lipoprotein. Increases in total cholesterol in response to HC may in fact be a result of an increase in the HDL/LDL ratio, which would actually be beneficial but is not yet clear in the current literature.

Leptin, a satiety hormone, is suggested to be associated with weight loss due to its action on hypothalamic metabolism and appetite suppression, potentiating a reduced energy intake (47). It is also considered a growing marker of weight loss during and following HC (50). Studies have reported increases in leptin in both hypoxic and normoxic groups (2, 52), but there was no assessment of body weight changes. Increases in serum leptin have been found following intermittent, moderate hypoxic and normoxic exposure of 15 min :5–10 min, respectively, compared to those who received no exposure to hypoxia (34), which was also aligned with slower rates of weight gain (+79% versus +100%, respectively). Notably, the animal models were fed a high-fat diet during the course of the intervention, which therefore may explain the reports of weight gain in this study. It could be that the weight gain was a result of increases in muscle mass of the animal models, but this measure was not assessed.
In summary, a small amount of evidence suggests that leptin may be a marker associated with weight loss, due to the findings of slower weight gain following passive HC.

Triglycerides, an important part of fat storage (13), have been found to increase following intermittent (40 s : 80 s) HC for 8 h per day over 2 weeks in obese rats (+30% [52]). Notwithstanding, equal changes occurred in the control group (+30%), whom didn’t received any hypoxic treatment. In addition to this, mean arterial blood pressure increased similarly in both groups. This may have been a result of the investigators feeding animals a high-fat diet alongside the hypoxic intervention, and subsequently blunting the potentially beneficial effects. These findings further highlight the co-morbidity relationship between obesity and hypertension whilst consuming a high-fat diet, which will not be reduced with severe hypoxic levels as shown in other studies (2, 52).

Finally, only three studies have measured body weight before and after a chronic passive hypoxic intervention in obese animals. Ling et al. (34) found weight to increase equally in the hypoxic group (+79%) and the control group (+78%). Rodriguez et al. (59) reported weight to increase in the hypoxia group (+9%) but with slightly greater increases in the control group (+13%). Previously, weight loss has generally been observed in the first days of exposure (41, 42). However, this has not been the case in the present review. Finally, Polotsky et al. (55) reported no change in any group. The discrepancy in findings of body weight following passive HC presented here may be due to a lack of dietary control. From an experimental perspective, controlling caloric intake may be difficult in animal models and subsequently leads to disparate changes in weight (i.e., weight gain). Only Polotsky et al. (55) stated what the animal models were fed throughout the intervention and reported no change in body weight. The majority of available studies presented here
actually report weight gain after repeated passive HC over 4–12 weeks. To summarise, passive HC in obese animals, fed a high-fat diet, does not lead to conclusive weight loss. *Table 2 near here*

4.1.2. Combined passive and active hypoxic exposure

Unlike passive HC alone, reductions in fasting glucose and insulin responses have typically been found following a combination of passive HC and normoxic active periods (6, 79). Interestingly, the hypoxic level (FiO$_2$ = ~14.0%) as well as the duration and mode of exercise employed (1.5 h of swimming) was similar across studies. Notably, Wu et al. (79) also found reductions in fasting glucose concentrations within the group whom carried out normoxic exercise without passive HC. This raises questions as to whether exercise alone is more effective than a combination of exposure modes. Passive HC and normoxic active periods, when combined, could potentially improve metabolic and hormonal responses of obese animals. Pending confirmatory research, this could at least in part be ascribed to improved insulin sensitivity and cellular glucose uptake.

The primary question regarding the use of passive and active HC is whether it leads to more beneficial health outcomes than a similar workload completed in normoxic conditions. Lu et al. (37) concluded that, compared to a control group, who received no exposure to hypoxia or exercise completion, obese rats lost significant amounts of weight, fat mass, LDL and total cholesterol after a combination of 60-min running sessions in hypoxic conditions (FiO$_2$ = 13.6%) and permanent residence in the same hypoxic environment, conducted over 4 weeks. Therefore, perhaps the increased physical workload, regardless of the conditions the animal models were in, led to improvements in cardio-metabolic health and reductions in weight. Notably, HDL cholesterol was reduced in the hypoxic group (37), presenting a negative effect of active hypoxic exposure, as HDL cholesterol is deemed as ‘good’
cholesterol (17). The change in HDL levels may be a reflection in the overall reduction in total cholesterol. Therefore, this may have led to a reduction in HDL and LDL, but with the maintenance of relative concentrations and HDL:LDL ratio.

The remaining two combined normoxic active periods and passive HC studies included in this review, which measured weight pre- and post-intervention, reported similar findings. Both Chen et al. (6) and Wu et al. (79) implemented identical protocols consisting of daily 90-min swimming sessions in normoxic conditions followed by passive HC (FiO₂ = 14.0%, sustained for 8-h per day). Both studies found greater body weight attenuation of the obese animal models, in comparison to the increase in the control group (no passive and active exposure). Further, weight did not change in the group who completed exercise in normoxic conditions without passive HC (6, 79). These findings suggest that a combination of passive and active HC is possibly more beneficial for weight control than a matched workload in normoxia. To date, however, the mechanisms that induce this response remain unclear (28). Possible increases in daily metabolic rate of only those in the hypoxic groups, causing a negative energy balance, may have occurred. Or perhaps appetite was suppressed through increased leptin concentrations, resulting in a reduced calorie intake. However, neither of these responses were assessed in these investigations.

4.2. Human studies

4.2.1. Passive hypoxic exposure

Table 3 presents the overall findings of the human studies included in this review. Only two studies included in this review have implemented passive HC in humans. Blood pressure remained unchanged following acute (single 3-h session) and short-term (3-h session per day for 7 days) exposure to a SpO₂ of ~80% (77). Additionally, unchanged body weights occurred following daily HC (1 h) for 4 weeks to severe (FiO₂ = 12.0%) and
moderate (FiO₂ = 15.0%) hypoxia (69). However, the participants included in these studies had a healthy BMI (22–27 kg.m⁻²), yet deemed as sedentary, which may explain the ineffective treatment on blood pressure and body weight. Moreover, it could be suggested that the participant cohort in these studies (69, 77) required a more severe level of hypoxia to elicit positive responses. In support of this, recent reviews (50, 67) have indicated a linear continuum between no additive effect and a deleterious effect with HC that is dependent on the severity of the hypoxic stimulus. Therefore, previously employed passive HC protocols in humans may not be beneficial to improve cardio-metabolic health (reduce blood pressure) or lose weight.

In their study, Workman & Basset (77) assessed metabolic responses, via a 30-min metabolic rate determination test pre- and post-intervention. They found increases in energy expenditure following acute (+16%) and short-term (+12%) HC, as did lipid metabolism (+44% and +29%, respectively); whereas, glycogen metabolism decreased (-31% and -49%, respectively). Collectively, these findings suggest that passive HC may be an effective modality to induce a shift in fuel utilisation and expend a greater quantity of lipid-based energy stores. Over a longer duration, this may lead to a substantially consistent negative energy balance which may promote measurable weight loss. To date, such a protocol has not been employed in an obese human population.

*Table 3 near here*

4.2.2. Active hypoxic exposure

Metabolic responses have been assessed following active HC (60–90-min moderate-intensity cardiovascular activity, 3 sessions per week, 4–6 weeks, FiO₂ = 15.0%) in obese humans. Netzer et al. (51) reported greater enhancements in triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL in those whom completed 8 weeks training for 90-min at 60% of HRmax in hypoxic
versus normoxic conditions. In other studies, no change has been found in both the hypoxic and normoxic groups for triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL following a similar exercise intensity range and duration over 4 weeks (46, 76). Morishima et al. (46) also reported that glucose concentrations decreased in both the hypoxic (-8%) and normoxic (-7%) group over the course of the intervention. These findings are interesting as all intervention groups exercised under the same hypoxic level and completed the same type of exercise at an ‘absolute’ intensity, i.e. an intensity regardless of the environmental condition. Consequently, differences in findings may have been related primarily to the total duration of the studies (8 [51] versus 4 weeks [46, 76]). Therefore, it appears that further improvements in metabolic markers such as triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL with HC would require an intervention of more than 4 weeks in duration for positive effects.

In two studies, fasting insulin reductions have been found in both hypoxic (FiO$_2$ = 15.0%) and normoxic exercise (60 mins, moderate intensity, 3 times per week, for 4 weeks) groups over the course of an intervention (hypoxia: -37%, normoxia: -33% [76]; hypoxia: -22%, normoxia: -36% [46]). Although not significant, baseline assessment in both studies of insulin concentrations were ~2 arbitrary units larger in the hypoxic compared to normoxic group. Therefore, this may explain the insignificant effect of the hypoxic stimulus as those in the control group started the intervention at a lower concentration. Additional consideration of other hormonal markers, such as growth horomone and insulin-like growth factor, that may further lead to enhancements of potential weight loss through promotion of mechanistic responses (60) warrant further investigation.

Hypertension is extremely prevalent in obese populations, causing an increased strain on an already laboured cardiovascular system (33). Kong et al. (32) implemented cardiovascular- and strength-based exercise in an obese population and found significant improvements of systolic (-8%) and diastolic (-7%) blood pressure after 4 weeks of 22 h of
exercise per week in the hypoxic group. Notably, their hypoxic group participants completed 6 h of the weekly training schedule (type of exercise session unknown) in a hypoxic environment, with the remainder carried out in normoxic conditions. Whereas, those who carried out all of the 22 h training load in normoxic conditions had less improvement in systolic (-3%) and diastolic (-1%) blood pressures. Compared to the normoxic group, Wiesner et al. (76) also reported a similar reduction in systolic (-2% versus -2%) but greater reduction in diastolic (-4% versus -1%) blood pressures in the hypoxic group over a similar duration of 4 weeks, yet with a reduced volume of exercise (180 min per week). All in all, active HC demonstrates more supportive evidence for improved blood pressure responses compared to active normoxic periods. That said, a previous review (62) concluded significant benefits to blood pressure values following active HC compared to normoxic conditions in those with various cardiovascular diseases, including normalisation and 3 month maintenance of stage 1 hypertensive patients (39). It could also be suggested that multiple combinations of exercise (cardiovascular and strength) carried out in hypoxic conditions are more beneficial than cardiovascular exercise alone to reduce blood pressure in obese populations. This is supported by the findings of Kong et al. (32), perhaps through enhanced vascular endothelial growth factor transcription leading to improved human vasculature control and capillary action (82).

Reductions in heart rate, for a given exercise workload, have been observed for both hypoxic (-18%) and normoxic (-20%) groups post-intervention (32), yet only statistically significant in the normoxic group. In other studies, no change in heart rate during an exercise test before and after the intervention period was found in the hypoxic or normoxic group (46, 76) – although lactate accumulation was reduced in both intervention groups (hypoxic: -11%, normoxic: -13% [76]). It could be suggested that due to obese humans having a lower baseline fitness level compared to athletic and healthy populations, it is likely that any form
of training will lead to an improved recovery response, via assessment of heart rate. Arguably, adding in an additional stimulus such as hypoxia likely reduces the potential of an increased recovery, and therefore, be less beneficial than the same workload in normoxic conditions.

Kong et al. (32) showed non-significant reductions in BMI (-6%) and weight (-7%) of the hypoxic group, however, obese humans in the normoxic group also showed non-significant weight loss post-intervention (-4%). Netzer et al. (51) reported non-significant reductions in weight and BMI in the hypoxic group, however, this did not occur in the normoxic group. In another study, no change was found in BMI and fat mass following both the hypoxic (FiO₂ = 15.0%) and normoxic intervention (moderate-intensity cycling, 3 times per week, 4 weeks), but the normoxic group did lose slightly more weight after the intervention compared to those in the hypoxic group (-1% versus -0.5%, respectively [46]). Overall, reductions in weight, BMI and individual tissue mass are found following active HC (moderate-intensity cardio-based exercise, 3 sessions per week, 4–8 week duration). This also occurs without hypoxia but to a lesser extent. Non-significant improvements in these studies may be strengthened if the small participant cohorts (~10 individuals per group) were increased to permit a greater effect size. Alternatively, it could be considered that participants became acclimatised to the hypoxic level (FiO₂ = 15.0%), which was consistently maintained throughout the whole intervention period (4–8 weeks). This could have lead to a rapid plateau of adaptations in body composition as the absence of periodisation may not perpetuate beneficial gains.

4.2.3. Combined passive and active hypoxic exposure

Gatterer et al. (18) employed a 90-min moderate intensity (65–70% HRₘₐₓ) cardiovascular-based active HC (FiO₂ = 14.0%) and a 90-min period of passive HC (FiO₂ =
12.0%) twice per week, for 8 months in obese males and females. After 5 weeks, similar changes in both hypoxic and normoxic groups were reported for body weight (-2% and -1%) and fat mass (+1% and -1%). After 3 months, these responses were further improved in comparison to the baseline assessment in the hypoxic (body weight: -4%, fat mass: -1%) as well as normoxic (body weight: -3%, fat mass: -2%) group. Additionally, similar reductions were found in both hypoxic and normoxic groups for values of systolic (-3% and -2%) and diastolic blood pressure (-3% and -3%). Following completion of the 8 month intervention period, those in the hypoxic group displayed reductions in fat mass (-1%) and blood pressure (systolic: -4%, diastolic: -2%). However, similar responses were found in the normoxic group (fat mass: -2%; systolic blood pressure: -6%; diastolic blood pressure: -5%). Interestingly, body weight was equally reduced in both groups (-3%) post-intervention. In the only available study, it seems that a combination of both passive and active HC has no added benefit compared to a matched workload in normoxic conditions on weight loss and cardio-metabolic responses assessed here. The main explanation would be that unaltered stimuli (i.e., hypoxic level, exercise intensity/duration) throughout the intervention lead to a near plateau in most measures assessed over this 8 month period.

5. Additional considerations

At present, it is difficult to affirm that overall fitness is improved following active HC versus similar exercise training in normoxia of obese populations. Exercise performance in an obese population, assessed via total running distance over the course of a 4-week intervention, showed a tendency of being higher in the hypoxic compared to the normoxic group (+18% [32]). In contrast, workload during hypoxic in reference to normoxic sessions in other studies was typically lower (-17.5% [76], -20% [46]). When exercising in hypoxia, exercise may be perceived as ‘harder’ (majored internal load as evidenced by higher heart
rate, rating of perceived exertion or blood lactate values) versus a matched workload in normoxia, leading to a reduced total workload. Therefore, it may be that obese humans require multiple exercise modalities to continue exercising at a clamped intensity and complete a greater total workload.

Cardiorespiratory fitness (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) is a key determinant of morbidity and mortality (74). Following active HC (60-mins cardiovascular-based exercise, 55–65% VO$_{2\text{max}}$, 3 times per week, for 4 weeks) non-significant increases in this determinant have been reported (46, 76). However, these enhancements were visible in both the hypoxic and normoxic exercise groups (+5.6% versus +3.1% [76], +12.6% versus +8.7% [46]; hypoxia versus normoxia, respectively). Taken as a whole, this could indicate that the mode of exercise is primarily responsible for gains (i.e., not the addition of the hypoxic stimulus). Undoubtedly, detection of adaptations to the intervention is paramount to select training intensity, modality and duration for successful interventions in obese populations. One may argue that the studies included in the present review have primarily implemented exercise performance tests that are overly challenging for obese populations, due to the requirement of exercising to volitional exhaustion (46, 76). Other sea-level training studies of obese populations have incorporated a 10-m walk test (23), a 6-minute step test (5) and a 6-minute walk test (27) to assess post-intervention changes in aerobic exercise performance. To date, the inclusion of such performance tests is lacking in the field of HC.

Other than one study which utilised a fixed SpO$_2$ (77), all studies presented in this review have implemented a fixed FiO$_2$ during exposure to hypoxia. One potential issue, however, is that the variance in individual response to a given simulated altitude is significant. In support of this, Hamlin et al. (22) concluded that for exposure to the same hypoxic level (FiO$_2$ = 10.0%), there is a greater inter-individual variability in the extent of arterial desaturation compared to a clamped SpO$_2$ of 75%. Additionally, obese humans are
considered as having a higher ‘resistance to hypoxia’ in comparison to healthy humans, and thereby a delayed/minimal desaturation (or SpO₂ decrease) when exposed to low hypoxic doses (FiO₂ ≤12.0% [54]). To negate this, implementing fixed SpO₂ values may minimise the number of ‘non-responding’ participants to a given hypoxic stimulus. Costalat et al. (8) recently investigated individualised intermittent passive exposure to hypoxia (SpO₂ ~80%), including normoxia phases (re-oxygenation to ~95%), in overweight and obese individuals. However, this investigation was not included in this review due to a lack of a control/normoxic condition.

6. Perspectives and significance

Multiple reviews investigating the effects of reduced inspired O₂ levels on those whom are obese and/or overweight have been published within the last decade. However, our paper is the first to highlight the beneficial effects of passive and active HC in both obese animals and humans on a variety of physiological, metabolic, hormonal and cardiovascular responses. These novel findings may be pivotal in improving the health and well being of these individuals. The rapid development of HC devices offers significant potential for real-world application as a therapeutic, cost-effective and accessible treatment.

7. Where next?

Due to the consideration of HC as a treatment for obesity being relatively new, there are many avenues for future mechanistic and performance-led research to be conducted to improve cardio-metabolic health and promote weight loss.

7.1. Exercise intensity
A number of studies in this review mention a reduced workload of participants carrying out moderate-intensity, continuous exercise in hypoxia compared to those in normoxia (46, 76), which has also been proposed elsewhere when clamping the metabolic demand (20). It would be interesting to investigate whether the cardio-metabolic responses of obese populations are significantly different between relative and absolute exercise intensities using direct comparisons (i.e., same participants), which may inform which exercise intensity is more suitable for setting training goals in this population. For example, cycling at 100 watts in hypoxic conditions will create a greater physiological strain (increased heart rate, cardiac output) on the human body compared to the same absolute intensity in normoxic conditions; thus inducing a higher internal (physiological) load for a matched external (power output) load. When cycling at a similar relative intensity, the internal load most likely will be reduced during hypoxia to match the external load of exercising in normoxia, as demonstrated by Wiesner et al. (76). Further research of this area is required to validate this claim and differentiate the effect of adding hypoxia in comparison to the effect of exercising at different intensities. It could be that, clamping the metabolic demand (i.e., working at a given relative exercise intensity in hypoxia versus normoxia) may be beneficial for obese populations. Arguably, the musculoskeletal system load is likely reduced in O₂-deprived environments and thereby could prevent further damage to joints, tendons and ligaments during locomotor activities (e.g., outdoor or treadmill walking).

In line with current American College of Sports Medicine (12) and UK National Health Service recommendations (49), the reviewed literature here suggests that a moderate-intensity, continuous exercise training programme (60–75% HR_max for 60–90-min, 3 times per week) is the recommended method to achieve weight loss. However, a growing body of literature is indicating that implementation of high-intensity intermittent exercise (3–5 sets of high-intensity exercise periods at 75–95% HR_max for 2–5 min interspersed with shorter
recovery periods of 2–3 min) in obese populations is beneficial (19, 54, 75). Not only is this form of exercise more time- and metabolically-efficient (36), but also would be more beneficial for weight loss compared to moderate-intensity during normoxia (9, 60, 83). In prescribing such exercise, a careful manipulation of work :rest ratios depending on the aim of the session (aerobically versus anaerobically-based responses) is needed.

7.2. Psychological aspect of weight loss

A large, and often underestimated, factor in achieving weight loss is related to psychological behaviours. Exercising regularly requires motivation and enjoyment to maintain adherence (31). At present, pleasure-displeasure responses of healthy populations exercising at a high-intensity in normoxic conditions are varied with both positive affects (43) and negative moods (48) reported. To our knowledge, this type of investigation does not exist during and following HC of obese humans. Implementing such affect-perceptual measurements would significantly aid levels of adherence to achieve weight loss through long-term interventions. Interestingly, Ekkekakis & Linds (14) concluded that enjoyment was reduced when obese populations had an imposed exercise intensity 10% greater than a self-selected speed. It remains to be verified whether implementation of self-selected speeds during shorter work periods in hypoxia would be more applicable in an obese population, as previously reported (14, 25).

7.3. Differences within obese populations

Although this review is focused on the treatment of obese (BMI: 30–38 kg.m²) populations, some studies have been included with participant groups of overweight and sedentary animals and humans, with a large majority of evidence derived from obese animal findings. Further comparative research is warranted to investigate the responses of different
stages of obese populations (e.g., I, II and III [10]), males versus females, and young versus older populations with or without associated complications (i.e., pre-diabetes).

7.4. Experimental considerations

Finally, determining the extent of metabolic stress associated with HC for inducing clinically relevant (>3%) weight losses (66) should be a key focus area. Arguably, many confounding variables likely affect determination of the optimal dose-response during HC, such as food consumption, in the lead up to and following the completion of sessions. If these were to be controlled, and short-term (single session) cardio-metabolic responses were to be assessed in obese populations, it will be possible to implement the ‘optimal’ exposure protocol (i.e., most beneficial dose, duration and intensity) for long-term improvements in cardio-metabolic health and weight loss, as proposed recently by Serebrovskaya et al. (62). Additional consideration of potential drawbacks associated with HC, such as onset of obstructive sleep apnoea and acute mountain sickness, should be made to increase the possibility of developing optimal passive and active HC protocols.

8. A summary of passive and active HC protocols

Table 4 states a summary of passive and active HC protocols in relation to the literature presented in this review for improving cardio-metabolic health and promoting weight loss in obese humans. HC-induced physiological, metabolic, cardiovascular and hormonal responses are undoubtedly highly individual. Importantly, all of the animal models and human participant cohorts included here were free from associated cardio-metabolic complications. In reality, this may not always be the case. Therefore, we recommend full general practitioner clearance to be obtained from prior to undertaking any HC, similar to the process of beginning any
physical activity programme/dietary intervention. Positive outcomes would also likely depend on the level of hypoxia employed and careful manipulation of key variables structuring the HC routine (e.g., number of cycles, duration, intensity, mode of exercise and/or periodisation). Importantly, this summary should be interpreted with caution and seen as a starting point only, as it is based upon the findings of a small amount of evidence (passive: 7 studies; active: 8 studies). We therefore encourage clinicians and researchers to refine them to reach a consensus.

*Table 4 near here*

9. Conclusions

The findings of this review in obese populations suggest that a) passive HC could lead to reduced insulin concentrations (-37 – -22%) in animals and increased energy expenditure (+12 – +16%) in humans, while active HC may reduce body weight (-4 – -2%) in both animals and humans as well as blood pressure (-8 – -3%) in humans; b) inconsistent findings and limited understanding still exist for determining the impact of acute and chronic HC on markers such as triglycerides, cholesterol levels and fitness capacity; and c) a large majority of studies include animal models exposed to severe levels of hypoxia (FiO₂ = ~5.0%) that are not suitable for obese humans. Also, published findings, at present, do not clearly show changes in responses of heart rate, fat and muscle mass following HC being significantly larger than a matched exposure and/or exercise period in normoxic conditions. Nevertheless, the promising findings need larger cohorts, more mechanistic measures and real-world applications of findings to improve the potential clinical impact of this novel intervention. Finally, the industrial and technological advancement, including miniaturised equipment for home use and accessibility to environmental chambers, will certainly contribute to the expansion in the use of these methods.
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Figure caption

Fig. 1: Flow chart of literature search results; OSA = obstructive sleep apnoea.
Table 1: Experimental details of studies included in this review that have investigated passive and active hypoxic conditioning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>BMI (kg.m²)</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Exposure type</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Level of hypoxia (FiO₂ %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Briancon-Marjollet et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Zucker rats</td>
<td>9 w</td>
<td>48 M</td>
<td>Obese hypoxia (n = 12) Lean hypoxia (n = 12) Lean control (n = 12)</td>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Intermittent (30 s : 30 s) 8 h.d</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>2 w</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Zucker rats</td>
<td>14 w</td>
<td>56 M</td>
<td>Obese exercise (n = 7) Lean exercise (n = 7) Obese hypoxia (n = 7) Lean hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>LHTL</td>
<td>90 mins daily exercise</td>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>6 w</td>
<td>20.9, 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatterer et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>51.4 y</td>
<td>22 F, 10 M</td>
<td>Hypoxia (n = 16)</td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>LLTH</td>
<td>90 mins moderate (65-70% HRmax) intensity exercise, 90 mins rest</td>
<td>Cycling, running, cross trainer</td>
<td>2x w, 8 m</td>
<td>14.0, 12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kong et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>21.1 y</td>
<td>8 F, 10 M</td>
<td>Hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>LLTH</td>
<td>Moderate (60-70% HRmax) intensity exercise, strength (40-50% 1 rep max, 3 sets of 15 reps, 2 – 3 min rest periods) training</td>
<td>Running, stepping, cycling, strength exercising</td>
<td>22 h w, 4 w</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ling et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Kunming mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>80 F</td>
<td>Hypoxia-normal diet (n = 20) Hypoxia-fatty diet (n = 20) Control-normal diet (n = 20)</td>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Intermittent (15 min :5 – 10 min)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>8x d, 40 d</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Sprague Dawley rats</td>
<td>3 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>Combined (n = 10)</td>
<td>60 mins moderate (55% VO2max) intensity cycling</td>
<td>4 w</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morishima et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>31y</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hypoxia (n = 9)</td>
<td>Active (n = 11)</td>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>3x w, 4 w</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netzer et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>47.8 y</td>
<td>F, 22 M</td>
<td>Hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>Active (n = 10)</td>
<td>Stepping, running, cycling</td>
<td>3x w, 8 w</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olea et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Wister rats</td>
<td>24 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Hypoxia obese (n = 40)</td>
<td>Passive (n = 40)</td>
<td>Intermittent (40s:80s) 8 h.d</td>
<td>2 w</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese (n = 40)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polotsky et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese short-term hypoxia (n = 15)</td>
<td>Passive (n = 16)</td>
<td>Intermittent (30s:30s) 16 h.d</td>
<td>5 d</td>
<td>4.8-5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lean short-term hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese long-term hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lean short-term controls (n = 15)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese short-term controls (n = 14)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>10 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>Passive (n = 11)</td>
<td>Intermittent (30s:30s) 12 h.d</td>
<td>4 w</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>82 M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lean hypoxia (n = 11)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>10 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese controls (n = 10)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>10 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lean controls (n = 11)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>10 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Obese hypoxia (n = 9)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>10 w</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lean hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Hypoxia Type</td>
<td>Exercise Type</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>SpO2</td>
<td>control group 1</td>
<td>control group 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30 M</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>Severe hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate hypoxia (n = 10)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Sustained</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>4 w</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control (n = 10)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiesner et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>27 F, 18 M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Hypoxia (n = 24)</td>
<td>Active LLTH</td>
<td>60 mins moderate (65% VO₂max) intensity running</td>
<td>Running</td>
<td>3x w, 4 w</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control (n = 21)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workman &amp; Basset (2012)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15 M</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Acute hypoxia (n = 11)</td>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term hypoxia (n = 6)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>Sustained 3 h</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>1x d, 1 w</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control (n = 4)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Zucker rats</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56 M</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Obese exercise (n = 7)</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>90 mins daily swimming</td>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lean exercise (n = 7)</td>
<td>Passive</td>
<td>Sustained 8 h.d</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obese hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td>Active LHTL</td>
<td>90 mins daily swimming, sustained 8 h.d</td>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>6 w</td>
<td>20.9, 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lean hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obese exercise and hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lean exercise and hypoxia (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obese controls (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lean controls (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index; d = day(s); F = female(s); FiO₂ = fraction of inspired oxygen; h = hour(s); HRmax = heart rate maximum; LHTH = live-high train-high; LHTL = live-high train-low; LLTH = live-low train-high; M = male(s); mins = minutes; m = months; n = number; N/a = not applicable; NM = not measured; rep max = repetition maximum; s = seconds; VO₂max = maximal oxygen uptake; w = week(s); y = years.
### Table 2: A summary of the findings for animal studies included in this review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Briancon-Marjollet et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Obese hypoxia</td>
<td>Glucose ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean hypoxia</td>
<td>Insulin ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese control</td>
<td>Cholesterol ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean control</td>
<td>HDL ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Obese exercise</td>
<td>LDL ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese exercise and hypoxia</td>
<td>Triglycerides ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese controls</td>
<td>Leptin ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ling et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Hypoxia-normal diet</td>
<td>BP ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoxia-fatty diet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control-normal diet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control-fatty diet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>Body weight ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olea et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Hypoxia obese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoxia control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polotsky et al. (2003)</td>
<td>Obese short-term hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean short-term hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese long-term hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean short-term control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese short-term control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Obese hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lean hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Obese exercise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese exercise and hypoxia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obese control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP = blood pressure; HDL = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL = low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease; → = maintenance.
Table 3: A summary of the findings for human studies included in this review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>Cholesterol</th>
<th>HDL</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>EE</th>
<th>Lipid metabolism</th>
<th>Glycogen metabolism</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>La+</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Body weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gatterer et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kong et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>→</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morishima et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netzer et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Severe hypoxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>←</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiesner et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Hypoxia</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workman &amp; Basset (2012)</td>
<td>Acute hypoxia</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term hypoxia</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>←</td>
<td>→</td>
<td>→</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index; BP = blood pressure; EE = energy expenditure; HDL = high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR = heart rate; La+ = lactate accumulation; ↑ = increase; ↓ = decrease; → = maintenance.
Table 4: A summary of the passive and active hypoxic conditioning protocols for improving cardio-metabolic health and promoting weight loss of overweight or obese humans, based on the evidence presented in this review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Passive</th>
<th>Active</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of hypoxia (FiO₂ %)</td>
<td>10.0–12.0</td>
<td>13.0–14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cycles</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>55–65% VO₂max / 60–70% HR max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (hours)</td>
<td>1–1.5</td>
<td>1–1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>2–3 times per week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodisation (weeks)</td>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>4–6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FiO₂ = fraction of inspired oxygen; HR = heart rate; N/a = not applicable; VO₂max = maximal oxygen uptake.