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Abstract
CO₂ absorption in solutions of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was performed in three membrane/mesh microstructured contactors: a single-channel PTFE membrane contactor, a nickel mesh contactor and an 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor. A membrane/mesh was used to achieve gas/liquid mass transfer without dispersion of one phase within the other. The PTFE membrane consisted of a pure PTFE layer 20 μm thick laminated onto a polypropylene layer of 80 μm thickness. The pure PTFE layer contained pores of ~ 0.5-5 μm diameter and was hydrophobic, while the polypropylene layer consisted of rectangular openings of 0.8 mm x 0.324 mm and was hydrophilic. The nickel mesh was 25 μm thick and contained pores of 25 μm diameter and was hydrophilic. Experiments were performed with a 2M NaOH solution and an inlet feed of 20% vol CO₂/N₂ gas mixture. Numerical simulations matched reasonably well the experimental data. CO₂ removal efficiency increased by increasing NaOH concentration, gas residence time, as well as the exchange area between gas and liquid. Higher removal of CO₂ was achieved when the polypropylene was in the gas side rather than the liquid side, due to lower mass transfer resistance of the gas phase. For the same reason, CO₂ removal efficiency was higher for the 8-channel PTFE contactor compared to the nickel mesh contactor. Average CO₂ flux was higher for the 8-channel contactor (8x10⁻³ mol/min·cm² with PP on the gas side) compared to nickel mesh contactor (3x10⁻³ mol/min·cm²) for the same gas and liquid residence times. The 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor removed around 72% of CO₂ in 1.2 s gas residence time, demonstrating the potential for CO₂ absorption using flat membrane contactors.
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1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide contributes significantly to global warming, which is considered as one of the most important challenges the world is facing. Current technologies for CO₂ absorption (packed or spray towers) [1-3] involve many environmental and economic drawbacks [4]. An alternative technology is the use of membrane contactors. These modules achieve gas/liquid or liquid/liquid mass transfer without dispersion of one phase within the other. Membrane modules are widely used for many industrial applications such as distillation, absorption and stripping [5-10].

CO₂ absorption in hollow fiber membrane modules has been widely explored during the last decades from different research groups. Atchariyawut et al. [11] studied the separation of CO₂ from CH₄ using polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. During chemical absorption of CO₂, a higher CO₂ flux was attained when aqueous sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was used compared to an equal concentration of aqueous amine solution of monoethanolamine (MEA). This was because of higher reaction rate constant for CO₂ and OH⁻ compared to CO₂ and MEA. Sadoogh et al. [12] performed experimental studies to examine the stability of the PVDF hollow fiber membrane modules for CO₂ capture with monoethanolamine (MEA) and diethanolamine (DEA) solutions. A decline of 43% on CO₂ flux was observed during operation with MEA, while with DEA, 26% reduction was observed within 10 h of operation. In addition, membrane mass transfer resistance increased by ca. 16.8% for DEA and 20% for MEA. It was found that the increase of mass transfer resistance and the decline in CO₂ flux were due to the deformation of the membrane structure after long time of operation.

Khaisri et al. [13] considered CO₂ absorption using aqueous solution of MEA in polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) hollow fiber membrane modules. They examined the influence of membrane wetting on the CO₂ absorption capability and the overall mass transfer coefficient. It was found that the overall mass transfer coefficient and CO₂ flux declined with an increase of membrane wetting. In addition, they compared experimental results with a model and showed that the absorption performance dropped by ~56% at 10% wetting. Masoumi et al. [14] investigated the absorption of CO₂ using alkanolamines and amino acids in hollow fiber membrane contactors. It was found that potassium glycinate (PG) had better performance on capturing CO₂ compared to other absorbents such as MDEA, DEA and potassium sarcosine (PS) when relative high partial pressures of CO₂ were used. Furthermore, they showed that an increase of temperature, amino acids concentration and
gas/liquid flowrates can increase CO$_2$ flux while an increase of the membrane wetting can lead to the decline of the CO$_2$ flux.

Dindore et al. [15] studied CO$_2$ absorption in a hollow fiber membrane contactor (HFMC) using water and aqueous NaOH solutions as absorbents. They showed that the contactor can be used successfully for the determination of various physicochemical properties such as reaction rate constant, diffusivity and solubility. Lv et al. [16] studied experimentally the simultaneous removal of CO$_2$ and SO$_2$ in polypropylene (PP) HFMC using MEA as the absorbent. They observed that absorption of SO$_2$ and CO$_2$ was enhanced by the increase in liquid flowrate and decrease in gas flowrate. Furthermore, CO$_2$ mass transfer rate significantly decreased with operating time due to partial wetting of membrane pores. Makhloufi et al. [17] performed CO$_2$ absorption experiments in ammonia using PP membranes and composite hollow fibers with two different dense skin layers: (Teflon AF2400 and TPX). They showed that microporous membranes do not offer stable performance, due to salt precipitation, however, dense skin membranes showed stable performance, and higher CO$_2$ mass transfer compared to packed column. Mansourizadeh and Mousavian [18] fabricated microporous PVDF hollow fiber membranes to examine CO$_2$ absorption in DEA solution. They observed steep change in CO$_2$ flux when liquid flowrate was altered due to the existence of the main mass transfer resistance in the liquid phase. Furthermore, CO$_2$ flux increased with increasing gas pressure and decreasing temperature. Rajabzadeh et al. [19] examined the stability of PVDF membranes using aqueous MEA solutions for CO$_2$ absorption. It was noticed that membranes with lower porosity and pore diameter were stable for longer time (200 h), compared to membranes with larger porosity and pore diameter which were completely wetted during the first 100 h of operation and absorption flux declined steeply.

Membrane microstructured contactors can be useful in reducing cost, saving energy, increasing safety and improving process efficiency due to their small channel size. In our previous studies [20] we used a microstructured mesh contactor to absorb CO$_2$ using NaOH and DEA aqueous solutions as absorbents. NaOH showed higher CO$_2$ removal efficiency as compared to DEA. Comparison of the microstructured mesh contactor with other contactors exhibited it had the best performance. Recently [21] PTFE membrane contactors using amine solutions were investigated. Significant CO$_2$ capture was found for gas residence time < 0.2 s. CO$_2$ removal was increased using a multi-channel PTFE contactor with higher surface area. In this work, these contactors are evaluated for CO$_2$ absorption in NaOH solution. In addition, emphasis is given on wetting by comparing membranes/meshes with different
wetting characteristics. We also demonstrate that for supported membranes the orientation of the membrane plays an important role.

2. Contactor design and experimental conditions

Three different contactors were used, an 8-channel nickel mesh contactor, a single-channel PTFE contactor and an 8-channel PTFE contactor. Their characteristics can be seen in Table 1, while more details about them can be found elsewhere [20-22]. To avoid breakthrough of one phase into the other (see Fig. 1), the contactors were operated with pressure difference between the liquid and gas phase $P_L - P_G \approx 100$ cm H$_2$O for the PTFE membrane and $P_G - P_L \approx 30$ cm H$_2$O for the nickel mesh. Breakthrough of liquid to the gas phase occurred at $P_L - P_G \approx 200-220$ cm H$_2$O for the PTFE membrane, while for the nickel mesh at $P_L - P_G \approx 31$ cm H$_2$O. The apparent contact angle on porous PTFE membrane was found to be 145°. During typical operation pressure drop was negligible (ca. 2 cm H$_2$O for gas and liquid phases). Continuous operation of the PTFE membrane over a month did not show any sign of decreased performance. The PTFE membrane used in the experiments consisted of 20 μm thick pure PTFE supported on an 80 μm thick polypropylene layer [21] while the nickel mesh was 25 μm thick. In all experiments gas was flowing above the membrane/mesh and liquid at the bottom of the membrane/mesh co-currently. Experiments were performed changing the flowrate of a 2M NaOH between 1.66-2.56 ml/min and CO$_2$/N$_2$ (20%vol) flowrate between 160-354 ml/min for the single channel PTFE contactor and 1.66-2.56 ml/min (liquid flowrate) and 230-354 ml/min (gas flowrate) for the 8-channel PTFE and nickel mesh contactor. All experimental data were collected at room temperature (ca. 20 °C).

The CO$_2$ removal efficiency, $X_{CO_2}$, was obtained by the following equation:

$$X_{CO_2} = 1 - \frac{F_{CO_2, out}}{F_{CO_2, in}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where F is the molar flowrate of CO$_2$. Each experiment was repeated at least three times and the relative differences were less than ±5.0%.

3. Numerical Model
A 2D model was developed to validate the experimental data of the PTFE membrane contactor. The concentration fields in the gas, membrane and liquid phase are governed by convection-diffusion-reaction equations and were presented in previous studies [21-22]. The membrane was considered as a uniform medium with $D^M_{CO_2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} D^G_{CO_2}$, where $\varepsilon$ is the membrane porosity (ca. 70%) and $\tau$ is the tortuosity (ca. 2.4) [23]. $D^M_{CO_2}$ is the diffusivity of CO$_2$ in the membrane and $D^G_{CO_2}$ the diffusivity of CO$_2$ in the gas phase. The main assumptions were: (1) Steady state operation. (2) Ideal gas behavior is applicable. (3) Henry’s Law is valid for the equilibrium between the two phases (4) Plug flow profiles are assumed for both phases. (5) Membrane pores are considered gas filled. (6) Gas flowrates are considered constant. (7) Gas and liquid phases are considered to flow in the same direction. COMSOL Multiphysics 5.2a was used to solve the convection-diffusion-reaction equations. A mesh consisting of 561421 number of elements and 1252378 degrees of freedom was used to perform the simulations in Windows 7 with Intel Core i5 2.7GHz CPU and 64GB of RAM, and computational time was around 3 min. No significant variation in the results was observed when the degrees of freedom were increased up to three times, proving that the solution was mesh-independent.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Effect of gas flowrate on CO$_2$ removal
To study the effect of the gas flowrate on CO$_2$ removal efficiency for the single channel PTFE contactor, experimental results were compared with the model predictions from CO$_2$ capture in NaOH solution. Figure 2 shows the comparison of the experimental results with the model for CO$_2$ removal when the gas flowrate was varied from 160 to 247 ml/min. Experimental results were in reasonable agreement with model prediction. Differences between experiments and modelling may be due to the partial membrane wetting. By increasing the gas flowrate the residence time in the contactor was reduced and as a result, CO$_2$ removal efficiency decreased. Approximately 15-20% of the initial CO$_2$ feed was captured within 0.102-0.157 s experimental gas residence time. These residence time values were calculated based on the contact of the gas volume (0.419 cm$^3$) with the membrane area. In previous work [21] for the same residence times, CO$_2$ removal efficiency was up to 14% using 2M diethanolamine (DEA) solution with a flux of 0.008 mol/min cm$^2$ and 18% using 2M monoethanolamine (MEA) solution with a flux of 0.011 mol/min cm$^2$, showing that the
NaOH solution in this work with a flux of 0.013 mol/min/cm² has higher CO₂ removal efficiency due to higher reaction rate constants.

4.2 Effect of membrane wetting characteristics on CO₂ removal
Figure 3 shows results of the 8-channel (PTFE) membrane contactor and compares them with those of the nickel mesh contactor described previously [22]. Experiments were executed varying the liquid and gas flowrates within the range of 1.66-2.56 ml/min and 230-354 ml/min respectively for both contactors. The corresponding residence times for these flowrates were 0.8-1.24 s for the gas and 26.3-40.5 s for the liquid when the 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor was used, while for the nickel mesh residence times were 0.56-0.86 s for the gas and 18.5-28.5 s for liquid. Increasing the gas residence time increased the CO₂ removal efficiency. Despite the fact that the residence times were only slightly larger in the PTFE membrane contactor (PP in the gas side), the CO₂ removal efficiency was higher than the nickel mesh contactor. For a gas flowrate of 354 ml/min (gas residence time 0.8 s), liquid flowrate of 2.56 ml/min and CO₂ removal efficiency of 63.2% the average flux was 8x10⁻³ mol/min/cm² (PP in the gas side). When the PP was in the liquid side for the same gas and liquid flowrates and for a CO₂ removal efficiency of 39% the flux was 4.9x10⁻³ mol/min/cm². For the nickel mesh for gas flowrate of 230 ml/min (gas residence time 0.86 s), liquid flowrate of 1.66 ml/min and for CO₂ removal efficiency of 25.5% the flux was 2.97x10⁻³ mol/min/cm². Hence, the flux on the PTFE membrane (PP in the gas side) was approximately 2.7 times higher than the flux of nickel mesh and 1.6 times higher than the PTFE membrane (PP in the liquid side), indicating that the resistance to mass transfer is lower in the PTFE membrane (PP in the gas side) compared to nickel mesh and the PTFE membrane (PP in liquid side). The pores of the nickel mesh were liquid-filled and thus, there was more resistance to mass transfer than the PTFE membrane whose pores were expected to be gas-filled. As a result, the CO₂ removal efficiency was higher for the 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor. CO₂ removal efficiency was higher when the polypropylene supporting layer was on the gas side rather than when it was on the liquid side. This was because the polypropylene layer had large openings of 0.3 mm x 0.8 mm [21] which filled with liquid when it was placed on the liquid side. Therefore, the resistance to mass transfer was larger.

4.3 Effect of the gas-liquid exchange area on CO₂ removal
Results of CO₂ removal for the 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor as a function of gas flowrate are presented in Figure 4. Numerical simulation predictions matched reasonably well the experimental data, and indicated the decrease of CO₂ removal by increasing the gas...
flowrate. Comparing Figure 2 with Figure 4 it can be seen that the CO₂ removal efficiency was higher for the 8-channel PTFE contactor compared to the single channel one. Under the same gas flowrates, it acquired between 0.1 to 0.16 s (experimental residence times) to achieve ca. 14.7-20% CO₂ removal with the single channel PTFE contactor, while ca. 63-72% of the initial CO₂ feed was captured between 0.8 to 1.24 s using the 8-channel PTFE contactor. The larger gas/liquid exchange area (55.9 cm²) of the 8-channel contactor (ca. 11.3 times bigger than the exchange area of the single channel contactor) resulted in higher gas residence time for CO₂ to react with NaOH solution, leading to higher CO₂ removal efficiency.

4.4 Effect of NaOH concentration on CO₂ removal

Experimental and theoretical results for two different NaOH concentrations for CO₂ removal as a function of gas flowrates are shown in Figure 5. Lower NaOH concentration, leads to less CO₂ removal efficiency, due to the associated lower reaction rate. The same observation was reported by Marzouqi et al. [24] in their work of chemical absorption of CO₂ in polypropylene membrane contactors, who showed that by increasing the concentration of NaOH from 0.005M to 0.01M, CO₂ removal efficiency increased. Similarly, Aroonwilas et al. [25] showed that increasing the NaOH concentration resulted to improve CO₂ absorption performance.

4.5 Comparison with other absorbents and contactors from literature

Comparison of our experimental results obtained by the 8-channel and the single-channel PTFE contactors with hollow fiber membrane contactors from literature is discussed below based on a modified gas residence time (defined as the surface area of gas/liquid exchange area over inlet volumetric gas flowrate), which is more appropriate as it includes the exchange area of the membrane. Kim and Yang [26] studied CO₂ absorption through hollow fibers using different aqueous absorbents. They attained to capture 85-100% of CO₂ from an inlet stream of 40 vol % CO₂/N₂ using solutions of MEA (2M) within 16 s (gas residence time) and 74 s/cm modified residence time. Marzouqi et al. [24] performed experiments with polypropylene (PP) hollow fiber membrane contactors. They removed up to 80% of CO₂ from an inlet stream of 10 vol % CO₂/CH₄ in 58 s gas residence time using 0.005M NaOH solution and modified residence time of 257 s/cm. In this work, in the 8-channel contactor around 72% of CO₂ was removed in 15 s/cm modified residence time with a 2M NaOH. The 8-channel contactor removed similar % of CO₂ at lower modified residence time than the
other studies, due to higher concentration of NaOH used and the lower reaction rate constant of MEA. An overall liquid-phase mass transfer coefficient \( K_{L\alpha} \), was obtained from \( K_{L\alpha} = \frac{R_{CO_2}}{\Delta C_M} \), where \( R_{CO_2} \) is the CO\(_2\) absorption rate per unit volume of the contactor (kmol m\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( \alpha \) is the gas–liquid contact area (m\(^2\) m\(^{-3}\)), \( \Delta C_M \) is the logarithmic mean concentration difference of CO\(_2\). [27] Only the volume of the contactor occupied by the gas, the liquid and the membrane was considered. For the four experimental points of Figure 4, \( K_{L\alpha} \) was found to be in the range 0.92-1.2 s\(^{-1}\). Rangwala [14] obtained similar \( K_{L\alpha} \) values in the range 1.09-1.23 s\(^{-1}\) for a 0.0254 m diameter module containing 0.3 mm O.D., 30 \( \mu \)m thick polypropylene hollow fibers, during CO\(_2\) absorption in a 2M NaOH solution. The author further showed that overall mass transfer rates in that module was 8.6 times higher than a column packed with Raschig rings when using DEA as absorbent. The above indicate that flat membrane configurations give comparable performance with hollow fiber contactors, offering the possibility of process intensification.

5. Conclusions

CO\(_2\) capture using NaOH solution was studied in a single-channel PTFE membrane contactor, a nickel mesh contactor and an 8-channel PTFE membrane reactor. 20% of CO\(_2\) was removed with gas residence times below 0.2 s. A numerical model was utilised to simulate the contactor and experimental results matched reasonably well model predictions. It was observed that the wetting of the membrane plays a significant role in performance since it affects the resistance to mass transfer. The 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor showed higher CO\(_2\) removal efficiency compared to nickel mesh contactor because of the hydrophobic nature of the PTFE membrane; thus offering less resistance to mass transfer. Furthermore, the polypropylene support layer of the PTFE membrane increased the resistance to mass transfer when it was placed in the liquid side of the contactor. These findings indicate the importance of using gas-filled membranes. CO\(_2\) removal efficiency reduced with lower concentration of NaOH, since lower concentration provided lower reaction rate and it increased by increasing the exchange area between gas and liquid. Comparing the 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor with hollow fiber membrane contactors from literature, demonstrated that it has great potential for CO\(_2\) capture and can be used as an alternative technology.
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Figures

Figure 1. Picture of the top (gas) side of the single channel contactor during breakthrough. The arrows indicate breakthrough of the liquid into the gas phase.

Figure 2. Amount of CO2 removed from the gas phase as a function of gas flowrate, obtained experimentally and theoretically for the single channel PTFE membrane contactor. Polypropylene support layer on the gas side. Gas to liquid flowrate ratio was 96.4.

Figure 3. Amount of CO2 removed from the gas phase as a function of gas phase residence time for the 8-channel PTFE membrane contactor and the nickel mesh contactor. Gas to liquid flowrate ratio was 139.5.

Figure 4. Amount of CO2 removed from the gas phase as a function of gas flowrate, obtained experimentally and theoretically for the 8-channel PTFE contactor. Polypropylene support layer on the gas side. Gas to liquid flowrate ratio was 139.5.

Figure 5. Amount of CO2 removed from the gas phase as a function of gas flowrate, obtained experimentally and theoretically for the single channel PTFE membrane contactor. Polypropylene support layer on the gas side. Gas to liquid flowrate ratio was 96.4.
Table 1. Geometrical characteristics of membrane/mesh contactors. All contactors had overall dimensions 192 mm x 97 mm, gas channel depth 0.85 mm, liquid channel depth 0.2 mm.